

The New German Limited Liability Company Act

By Andreas Fillman (Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.)

The new "Act aimed at modernizing the Limited Liability Company Act (GmbHG) and combating abuses" came into force on November 1, 2008 (Gesetz zur Modernisierung des GmbH-Rechts und zur Bekämpfung von Missbräuchen - MoMiG) and modernizes fundamentally the old GmbH law in the following key areas:

- The GmbH establishment procedure has been modified to (a) simplify the processes of share division, merging and transfer and (b) facilitate the easy provision of share capital.
- Furthermore, modernization of the registration system will accelerate the registration process at the commercial register (Handelsregister).
- In stark contrast to the old law, the new law permits a GmbH to locate its headquarters as the centre of administration outside Germany. It assists the acquisition process by requiring greater transparency in relation to share ownership and codifies rules relating to group financing. This new legal footing will enable the GmbH to wholly participate in cash pooling systems that are common in other countries.
- The new law tackles the issue of deceptive and fraudulent business practices in a number of ways. It simplifies the procedure for filing legal claims, removes the possibility of artificial and vexatious delays in insolvency proceedings and imposes higher standards on those able to become managing directors of companies.

The government's aim is to strengthen the GmbH as a corporate form in Europe through the simplification of GmbH founding and establishment procedures, in the following manner.

For further information, please contact the author, Dr. Andreas Fillmann and his contact details and professional profile can be found on the firm's website at: <http://www.ssd.com/afillmann/>. He is a partner in the Frankfurt office of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.

Establishment

The new law differentiates between two alternative forms and in addition to the old form it allows for a totally new form of legal GmbH to be formed, with a minimum nominal share value of only €1. This new variant of German GmbH has to be named *Unternehmergesellschaft* (*haftungsbeschränkt*), and this (or the abbreviation "UG") must form part of the company name until the general minimum nominal share capital of €25,000 is achieved. In addition, the UG must place 25 percent of its annual profit into a new capital reserve until this minimum nominal share capital is reached. This reserve can be used only for increasing nominal share capital or for balancing losses or loss-carry-forwards of the UG. Unlike the still traditional type of GmbH, a UG may not make contributions in kind during formation or as part of later capital increases. There is also a greater flexibility with regard to the splitting, transfer and consolidation of shares.

Cash Pooling

German law relating to capital contribution and maintenance (derived mainly from case law of Germany's Federal Court of Justice (BGH)) caused some legal uncertainty in the context of GmbH participation in internationally recognized and authorized cash pooling structures for group financing. The capital contributions made by a shareholder to the GmbH, when paid directly into a group account under a cash pooling structure, are considered invalid under principles of German case law, which deems that these payments do not fall under the direct control of the GmbH. However, the new law codifies and modifies such BGH case law by making these payments to shareholders valid upon satisfaction of the condition that an adequate claim to consideration, or to reimbursement, from the shareholder covers the disbursement and covers the disbursement and is also at full value. A similar regulation applies to the raising of capital, although stricter requirements are to be observed. Upstream loans in cash pooling structures are permitted and available under the new law, even to companies experiencing negative

equity. Problems remain, however, as the burden of determining the adequacy of the repayment claim falls upon the managing director, who may be personally accountable for damages suffered by the company or its creditors. What is legally adequate in terms of a repayment claim has yet to be clearly defined.

Transfer of Shares

The new law differs from the old (in particular in respect to the transfer and acquisition of shares) in that it places greater importance on the list of existing shareholders filed with the commercial register (Handelsregister). Consequently, only those persons registered in the list of shareholders will in the future be considered to be persons actually holding shares in the company. The acquisition of any shares from an individual or corporate entity listed as a shareholder at the commercial register shall be judged to be effective even in circumstances for which the seller had no authority to make the disposal. Therefore, in relation to the shareholder list, the Act allows in contrast to the old law the acquisition bona fide of GmbH shares. The statutory assumption of ownership outlined above is, however, subject to a number of conditions. The assumption will not apply if (a) the share(s) do not exist, (b) the buyer was aware of any defect in title or (c) the seller has been listed on the shareholder list for less than three years. Under the new law, the need to perform due diligence investigations and verification exercises and to obtain seller's warranties as to ownership of shares will be reduced. Those parties who sell or buy shares in the company will have an interest to keep the list of shareholders up to date.

Authorized Share Capital

The GmbH has been afforded greater flexibility in raising capital. The new law enables the GmbH to have authorized capital, similar to that enjoyed by the German stock corporation (Aktiengesellschaft). The benefit is that, for a period of up to five years, the shareholders can authorize the managing directors to increase the stated share capital against contributions.

Capital Maintenance Rules

Unlike the old law, the new law relating to capital maintenance (Eigenkapitalersatzrecht) is comprehensive and digestible. As previously outlined, the commercial practice of cash pooling has been facilitated through the removal of the assumption that loans to shareholders are a

prohibited repayment of stated share capital as long as certain conditions are met (a fully recoverable payment claim and only an accounting exchange on the asset side of the balance sheet). Furthermore, capital maintenance law now forms a part of insolvency law, and there will be no longer a distinction being made between "capital substitution" and "normal" shareholder loans. In case of an insolvency such loans are now in all circumstances subordinate to the claims of other creditors. Furthermore, if a shareholder has transferred assets to the GmbH, he is in the future not entitled during the insolvency procedures from asserting his claim for segregation, subject to a maximum of one year from the such proceedings being commenced.

Extended Management Liability

The regulations regarding management liability have been modified and tightened in a significant manner. The focus of liability has shifted away from the shareholders to fall on the managing directors of a GmbH. The new regime legislates that a managing director must accept personal liability for the payment of dividends to shareholders if those payments result in the insolvency of the GmbH (this remains the case even if he or she acted on shareholder instruction). Managing directors also are personally liable for any losses incurred as a result of erroneous information contained in the shareholder list, as they are responsible for the accuracy of this list. The extent of such personal liability also covers issues relating to capital maintenance. Furthermore, managing directors are personally liable if, in connection with cash pooling arrangements, they fail to negotiate an adequate termination option and the failure results in unacceptable levels of indebtedness or insolvency. In this regard, they have a duty to make assumptions necessary to determine whether the repayment claim of the company for loans to the cash pool remains at full value, and as a consequence they are personally liable for any losses resulting from these assumptions.

The modifications to the GmbH law offer easier access to this corporate status, and time will tell whether the new status will be increasingly used in Europe.

Reprinted from the March 31, 2009 issue of *EuroWatch*

©2009 WorldTrade Executive, Inc.

www.wtexecutive.com